

Policy of the Publishing House Professional Editions Concerning Artificial Intelligence

This policy regulates the use of generative artificial intelligence tools for creating new content (text, code, images, or data)

1. No AI program may be referred to as an author or co-author of an article, nor may it be credited as having contributed to its writing.
2. Any result generated by AI should be critically evaluated by humans.
3. Any use of AI should be disclosed appropriately.
4. Liability for any information obtained through AI remains solely with the human author.

The editorial board of JOURNAL TITLE prohibits using AI in the following cases:

1. To accomplish any of the author's core tasks: generating scientific, educational, or medical concepts, drawing scientific conclusions, or formulating clinical recommendations.
2. To create any type of content, including abstracts and supplementary materials.

The editorial board of JOURNAL TITLE requires authors to disclose information regarding the use of AI in a declaration following the text of the article. This disclosure must include details on the generative AI tool applied (designation, version, and number), its purpose, and the way it was used.

Use of AI in Reviewing

The reviewers should not do the following, even for the purpose of improving the language of the review:

- To upload the text of the article or its fragments into an AI program due to potential privacy violations;
- To upload the text of the review or fragments of it into an AI program due to potential privacy violations;
- To use an AI program to evaluate the article.

If a reviewer suspects that generative AI was used in the article, he/she should report this to the editor.

Use of AI in Article Editing

The editors should not do the following, even for the purpose of improving the quality of the review language:

- To upload the text of the article or excerpts from it into an AI program due to potential privacy violations;
- To upload the text of the review or excerpts from it into an AI program due to potential privacy violations;
- To use an AI program to compile reviewers' comments and draft a letter to the author with the final decision about the publication.

Editorial actions upon detection of undisclosed AI use (before or after publication)

The journal editor may suspect undeclared or improper AI usage before or after publication. If suspicions arise, the journal editorial board should proceed as follows:

- To request clarifications from the authors.
- To request a confirmation of the authors' independent work with the text of the article, such as drafts and raw data.
- To check the text of the article using an alternative AI detector (without using an AI chatbot).
- To check the author's text for generated fragments written before the introduction of AI programs.

After gathering the information, the journal's editorial board reviews the article and the impact of AI on the research results, and then makes a decision regarding the article's status as follows:

- To make changes/additions to the article with a corresponding note;
- To retract the article according to the existing algorithm.

Note: Decisions regarding each suspicious case are made case-by-case. In controversial situations, the journal's editorial board relies on existing guidelines and recommendations, as well as on its own policy regarding AI usage. The current policy is not definitive; some points are subject to revision depending on the conditions of working with AI and progress in this field.